arXiv:1608.02703v2 [math.NT] 2 Nov 2016

The exact order of the number of lattice points visible from the origin

Wataru Takeda

Department of Mathematics,
Kyoto University,
Kitashirakawa Oiwake-cho, Sakyo-ku, Kyoto 606-8502, Japan

Abstract

We say a lattice point $X = (x_1, \dots, x_m)$ is visible from the origin, if $\gcd(x_1, ..., x_m) = 1$. In other word, there are no other lattice point on the line segment from the origin O to X. From J.E. Nymann's result [2], we know that the number of lattice point from the origin in $[-r, r]^m$ is $(2r)^m/\zeta(m)$+(Error term). We showed that the exact order of the error term is r^{m-1} for $m \geq 3$.

1 Introduction

The counting and probability problem of the visible lattice points is well known.

Let $\mathbb{V}^m = \{x = (x_1, \dots, x_m) \in \mathbb{Z}^m \mid x \text{ is visible from the origin}\}$. It is well known that the cardinality of the set $\mathbb{V}^m \cap \{(x_1, ..., x_m) \in \mathbb{Z}^m \mid |x_i| \leq r \ (1 \leq i \leq m)\}$ is

$$\frac{2^m}{\zeta(m)} r^m + \begin{cases} O(r \log r) & (m = 2) \\ O(r^{m-1}) & (m \geq 3), \end{cases}$$

where ζ is the Riemann zeta function. F. Mertens proved the the case of $m = 2$ in 1874 [1] and J. E. Nymann showed the case of $m \geq 3$ in 1972 [2].

In this article, as a generalization of the result of Nymann, we study the number of elements of

$$\mathbb{V}^m \cap \{(x_1, ..., x_m) \in \mathbb{Z}^m \mid |x_i| \leq r \ (1 \leq i \leq m)\}.$$

Let $V_m(r)$ denote $|\mathbb{V}^m \cap \{(x_1, ..., x_m) \in \mathbb{Z}^m \mid |x_i| \leq r \ (1 \leq i \leq m)\}|$ and let $E_m(x)$ denote the error term, i.e.

$$E_m(r) = V_m(r) - \frac{2^m}{\zeta(m)} r^m.$$

Then we obtain a generating function of $V_m(r)$ and the exact order of $E_m(r)$, where $m \geq 3$. More precisely, we prove

Theorem 1.1. *If $m \geq 3$,*

$$E_m(r) = \Omega(r^{m-1}).$$

Combine Nymann's result [2] with this theorem, the exact order of the magnitude of $E_m(r)$ is r^{m-1} for all $m \geq 3$.

2 Jordan totient function $\varphi(n)$

In [3], it is proved that

$$V_2(r) = 8 \sum_{n \leq r} \varphi(n).$$

We follow this way and use the Jordan totient function $J_m(n)$ to obtain the value of $V_m(r)$.

Definition 2.1. *For $m \geq 1$ we define*

$$J_m(n) := |\{(x_1, ..., x_m) \in \mathbb{Z}^m \mid \gcd(x_1, ..., x_m, n) = 1, 1 \leq x_i \leq n \ (1 \leq i \leq m)\}|.$$

For $m = 0$ we define

$$J_0(n) := \begin{cases} 1 & (n = 1) \\ 0 & (n \neq 1) \end{cases}$$

Since $J_1(n) = |\{x_1 \in \mathbb{Z} \mid \gcd(x_1, n) = 1, 1 \leq x_1 \leq n\}| = \varphi(n)$, we regard $J_m(n)$ as a generalization of $\varphi(n)$.

The Euler totient function $\varphi(n)$ satisfies

$$\varphi(n) = \sum_{d|n} \mu(d) \frac{n}{d} = n \prod_{\substack{p|n \\ p:\text{prime}}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right),$$

where $\mu(d)$ is Möbius function. As well as this, $J_m(n)$ satisfies following lemma.

Lemma 2.2. $J_m(n) = \sum_{d|n} \mu(d) \left(\frac{n}{d}\right)^m = n^m \prod_{\substack{p|n \\ p:prime}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^m}\right).$

Proof. It suffices to show that $n^m = \sum_{d|n} J_m(d)$, because the Möbius inversion formula gives the assertion. Let S denote $\{(x_1, ..., x_m) \in \mathbb{Z}^m \mid 1 \leq x_i \leq n \ (1 \leq i \leq m)\}$. Let $S(d)$ be $\{(x_1, ..., x_m) \in \mathbb{Z}^m \mid \gcd(x_1, ..., x_m, n) = d, 1 \leq x_i \leq n \ (1 \leq i \leq m)\}$, where d divides n. Then S is the disjoint union $S = \bigcup_{d|n} S(d)$.

$\gcd(x_1, ..., x_m, n) = d$ if and only if $\gcd\left(\frac{x_1}{d}, ..., \frac{x_m}{d}, \frac{n}{d}\right) = 1$, so $|S(d)| = J_m\left(\frac{n}{d}\right)$. Hence we can write

$$n^m = |S| = \sum_{d|n} |S(d)| = \sum_{d|n} J_m\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) = \sum_{d|n} J_m(d).$$

The equality on the left in Lemma follows.

Let us prove the other equality. If $n = 1$ the product is empty and assigned to be the value 1.

And if $n \geq 2$, we can observe that

$$\sum_{d|n} \frac{\mu(d)}{d^m} = \prod_{\substack{p|n \\ p:\text{prime}}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^m}\right).$$

Thus the other equality in Lemma also follows. □

3 Generating function of $V_m(r)$

Theorem 3.1. *Generating function of $V_m(r)$ is the following.*

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{u^m}{m!} V_m(r) = \frac{1}{2u}(e^{(2X+1)u} - e^{(2X-1)u})$$

and

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} u^{m+1} V_m(r) = \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{1-(2X-1)u}{1-(2X+1)u},$$

where $i \sum_{n \leq r} J_{i-1}(n)$ is replaced by X^i when $i \geq 1$, and X^0 are assigned to be the value 0.

Proof. It suffices to show that

$$V_m(r) = \frac{1}{2(m+1)}\{(2X+1)^{m+1} - (2X-1)^{m+1}\}.$$

Let $V_m^+(r)$ denote $|\mathbb{V}^m \cap \{(x_1, ..., x_m) \mid 0 < x_i \leq r \ (1 \leq i \leq m)\}|$ for $m \geq 1$. Considering the sign of component, we have

$$V_m(r) = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \binom{m}{i} 2^{m-i} V_{m-i}^+(r).$$

Let $A_m^+(n) = V_m^+(n) - V_m^+(n-1)$, then

$$V_m^+(r) = \sum_{2 \leq n \leq r} A_m^+(n) + 1.$$

We compute $A_m^+(n)$ in a combinatorial way as follows.

Fix i with $0 \leq i < m$. Fix $I \subset \{1, \ldots, m\}$ such that $|I| = m - i$, and let

$$V = \{(x_1, \ldots, x_m) \in \mathbb{V}^m \mid x_j = n \text{ for all } j \in I, 0 < x_j < n \text{ for all } j \notin I\}.$$

Then $|V| = J_i(n)$. There are $\binom{m}{m-i}$ ways to choose $I \subset \{1, \ldots, m\}$ with $|I| = m-i$. So the number of points $(x_1, \ldots, x_m) \in \mathbb{V}$ such that $|\{i \mid x_i = n\}| \geq m-i$ is

$$\binom{m}{m-i} J_i(n).$$

But we count same point $(x_1, \ldots, x_m) \in \mathbb{V}$ such that $|\{i \mid x_i = n\}| = k$, $\binom{k}{m-i}$ times each i $(m-k \leq i \leq m-1)$.

We can show that $(-1)^{m-1} \sum_{i=m-k}^{m-1} (-1)^i \binom{k}{m-i} = 1$, so we can count all points in $\mathbb{V}$ without repetition and obtain that $A_m^+(n) = \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} (-1)^{m-1-j} \binom{m}{j} J_j(n)$.

Thus we get

$$V_m^+(r) = \sum_{2 \leq n \leq r} \sum_{j=0}^{m-i-1} (-1)^{m-i-1-j} \binom{m-i}{j} J_j(n) + 1,$$

and

$$V_m(r) = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \binom{m}{i} 2^{m-i} \left(\sum_{2 \leq n \leq r} \sum_{j=0}^{m-i-1} (-1)^{m-i-1-j} \binom{m-i}{j} J_j(n) + 1 \right).$$

For $j \geq 1$, X^j is defined by $j \sum_{n \leq r} J_{j-1}(n)$. To use this notation, we add the term $n = 1$ to above sum. By the definition of $J_j(n)$, we get $J_j(1) = 1$ for all j. And we get $\sum_{j=0}^{m-i-1} (-1)^{m-i-1-j} \binom{m-i}{j} = 1$ by applying the binomial theorem. Using this we have

$$\begin{aligned} V_m(r) &= \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \binom{m}{i} 2^{m-i} \left(\sum_{n \leq r} \sum_{j=0}^{m-i-1} (-1)^{m-i-1-j} \binom{m-i}{j} J_j(n) \right). \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \binom{m}{i} 2^{m-i} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{m-i-1} (-1)^{m-i-1-j} \binom{m-i}{j} \sum_{n \leq r} J_j(n) \right). \end{aligned}$$

By the definition of X^j, we find $\binom{m-i}{j}\sum_{n\le r} J_j(n) = \frac{1}{m-i+1}\binom{m-i+1}{j+1}\frac{X^{j+1}}{j+1}$,

this gives

$$= \sum_{i=0}^{m-1}\binom{m}{i}2^{m-i}\frac{1}{m-i+1}\sum_{j=0}^{m-i-1}(-1)^{m-i-1-j}\binom{m-i+1}{j+1}X^{j+1}.$$

Replacing the index $j+1$ by j, we obtain

$$= \sum_{i=0}^{m-1}\binom{m}{i}2^{m-i}\frac{1}{m-i+1}\sum_{j=1}^{m-i}(-1)^{m-i-j}\binom{m-i+1}{j}X^{j}.$$

Because the term $i=m$ and $m+1$ and $j=0$ of above sum are a constant times X^0, we get

$$V_m(r) = \sum_{i=0}^{m+1}\binom{m}{i}2^{m-i}\frac{1}{m-i+1}\left(X^{m-i+1} - \sum_{j=0}^{m-i+1}(-1)^{m-i+1-j}\binom{m-i+1}{j}X^j\right).$$

Applying the binomial theorem by repetition, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{i=0}^{m+1}\binom{m}{i}2^{m-i}\frac{1}{m-i+1}\left\{X^{m-i+1} - (X-1)^{m-i+1}\right\},\\
&= \frac{1}{2(m+1)}\sum_{i=0}^{m+1}\binom{m+1}{i}\left\{(2X)^{m+1} + (2X-2)^{m+1}\right\},\\
&= \frac{1}{2(m+1)}\left\{(2X+1)^{m+1} + (2X-1)^{m+1}\right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

This proves the theorem. □

4 The value of $\sum_{n\le r} J_{i-1}(n)$

In this paper, we use the Ω simbol introduced by G.H. Hardy and J.E. Littlewood. This simbol is defined as follows:

$$f(x) = \Omega(g(x)) \Longleftrightarrow \limsup_{x\to\infty}\left|\frac{f(x)}{g(x)}\right| > 0$$

If there exists a function $g(x)$ such that $f(x) = O(g(x))$ and $f(x) = \Omega(g(x))$ then the exact order of $f(x)$ is $g(x)$.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $\{x\}$ be the fractional part of x. If $m \ge 2$,*

$$\sum_{d\le r}\mu(d)\left(\frac{r}{d}\right)^m\left\{\frac{r}{d}\right\} = \Omega(r^m).$$

Proof. It suffices to show that $\sum_{d\leq r}\frac{\mu(d)}{d^m}\left\{\frac{r}{d}\right\}\leq M<0$ for infinity many values of r and some negative M.

If $m\geq 4$ and r is odd integer and greater than or equal to 3,

$$\sum_{d\leq r}\frac{\mu(d)}{d^m}\left\{\frac{r}{d}\right\}=-\frac{1}{2^{m+1}}+\sum_{3\leq d\leq r}\frac{\mu(d)}{d^m}\left\{\frac{r}{d}\right\}.$$

Since $\mu(d)=1.0.-1$ and $\left\{\frac{r}{d}\right\}\leq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}&\leq -\frac{1}{2^{m+1}}+\sum_{3\leq d\leq r}\frac{1}{d^m},\\ &=-\frac{1}{2^{m+1}}+\zeta(m)-1-\frac{1}{2^m}<0,\end{aligned}$$

since when $m\geq 4$, $\zeta(m)-1-\frac{1}{2^m}<\frac{1}{2^{m+1}}$.

So for $m\geq 4$ the lemma follows.

Suppose that $m=2$ or $m=3$ and $r=k\prod_{p\leq 100}p$, where the product is extended over all odd primes less than 100 and k isn't a multiple of 2 and p.

Then,

$$\sum_{d\leq r}\frac{\mu(d)}{d^m}\left\{\frac{r}{d}\right\}\leq\sum_{d=1}^{100}\frac{\mu(d)}{d^m}\left\{\frac{r}{d}\right\}+\sum_{d=101}^{\infty}\frac{\mu(d)}{d^m}\left\{\frac{r}{d}\right\}.$$

Since $\mu(d)=1.0.-1$ and $\left\{\frac{r}{d}\right\}\leq 1$,

$$\leq -\frac{1}{2^{m+1}}+\sum_{d=3}^{100}\frac{\mu(d)}{d^m}\left\{\frac{r}{d}\right\}+\sum_{d=101}^{\infty}\frac{1}{d^m}.$$

Because we defined $r=k\prod_{p\leq 100}p$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{d=3}^{100}\frac{\mu(d)}{d^m}\left\{\frac{r}{d}\right\}&=\sum_{p=prime}^{47}\frac{1}{(2p)^m}\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{30^m}+\frac{1}{42^m}+\frac{1}{66^m}+\frac{1}{78^m}+\frac{1}{70^m}\right)\\ &<\frac{2}{5}\times\frac{1}{10^{m-1}}\end{aligned}$$

From this result and $\sum_{d=101}^{\infty} \frac{1}{d^m} \leq \frac{1}{100^{m-1}}$, we find

$$\sum_{d \leq r} \mu(d) \frac{1}{d^m} \left\{ \frac{r}{d} \right\} \leq -\frac{1}{2^{m+1}} + \frac{2}{5} \times \frac{1}{10^{m-1}} + \frac{1}{100^{m-1}}$$
$$< -\frac{1}{20},$$

so for $m = 2$ or $m = 3$ the lemma follows. This completes the proof of the lemma. □

Lemma 4.2. *If* $i \geq 3$, $X^i = \frac{1}{\zeta(i)} r^i + \Omega(r^{i-1})$

Proof. For $i \geq 3$, X^i is defined by $i \sum_{n \leq r} J_{i-1}(n)$.

From Lemma 2.2 we know that $J_{i-1}(n) = \sum_{d|n} \mu(d) \left(\frac{n}{d}\right)^{i-1}$,

$$X^i = i \sum_{n \leq r} \sum_{d|n} \mu(d) \left(\frac{n}{d}\right)^{i-1}.$$

We write $n = dq$ and sum over all pair of positive integers d, q with $dq \leq r$, thus

$$X^i = i \sum_{dq \leq r} \mu(d) q^{i-1}$$

Changing the order of summation,

$$X^i = i \sum_{d \leq r} \mu(d) \sum_{q \leq \frac{r}{d}} q^{i-1}$$

Applying the relationship between Bernoulli numbers $B_0, B_1(= \frac{1}{2}), B_2, \ldots$ and a sum $1^k + 2^k + \cdots + n^k$, that is, $\sum_{q=1}^{n} q^{i-1} = \frac{1}{i} \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} \binom{i}{j} B_j n^{i-j}$,

$$X^i = i \sum_{d \leq r} \mu(d) \frac{1}{i} \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} \binom{i}{j} B_j \left[\frac{r}{d}\right]^{i-j},$$

where $[x]$ is the greatest integer less than or equal to x. Now we use a relation $[x] = x - \{x\}$,

$$X^i = \sum_{d \leq r} \mu(d) \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} \binom{i}{j} B_j \left(\frac{r}{d} - \left\{\frac{r}{d}\right\}\right)^{i-j}.$$

We note that

$$\left|\sum_{d\le r}\frac{\mu(d)}{d^m}\left\{\frac{r}{d}\right\}^k\right| \le \sum_{d\le r}\frac{1}{d^m} = \begin{cases} \zeta(m)+O(r^{1-m}) & (m\ge 2)\\ \log(r)+\gamma+o(1) & (m=1),\end{cases}$$

where γ is Euler's constant, defined by the equation

$$\gamma = \lim_{n\to\infty}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n}\frac{1}{k}-\log n\right).$$

Combining this result with Lemma 4.1 and $\sum_{d\le r}\frac{\mu(d)}{d^i} = \frac{1}{\zeta(i)}+O\left(\frac{1}{r^{i-1}}\right)$, where $i>1$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} X^i &= r^i\sum_{d\le r}\frac{\mu(d)}{d^i}+\Omega(r^{i-1}).\\ &= \frac{1}{\zeta(i)}r^i+\Omega(r^{i-1})\end{aligned}$$

This proved the lemma.

□

5 The exact order of magnitude of $E_m(r)$

By using lemmas in last section with Theorem 3.1, we prove following theorem about the exact order of magnitude of $E_m(r)$.

Theorem 5.1. *If $m\ge 3$,*

$$E_m(r) = \Omega(r^{m-1}).$$

Proof.

From Theorem 3.1,

$$\begin{aligned} V_m(r) &= \frac{1}{2(m+1)}\{(2X+1)^{m+1}-(2X-1)^{m+1}\},\\ &= (2X)^m+O(X^{m-2}).\end{aligned}$$

Applying Lemma 4.1 and 4.2, we find

$$V_m(r) = \frac{2^m}{\zeta(m)}r^m+\Omega(r^{m-1}).$$

□

Combine Nymann's result [2] with this theorem, the exact order of the magnitude of $E_m(r)$ is r^{m-1} for all $m\ge 3$.

References

[1] F. Mertens. Ueber einige asymptotische Gesetze der Zahlentheorie. J. Reine Angew. Math, 77 (1874) 289-338,

[2] J. E. Nymann, On the probability that k positive integers are relatively prime, J. Number Theory 4 (1972) 469–473.

[3] T. M. Apostol, Introduction to Analytic Number Theory, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1976.